Maharashtriya Mandal's Chandrashekhar Agashe College of Physical Education, Gultekadi, Pune-411037

Year of Performance Appraisal:

PART: A

SECTION A: GENERAL INFORMATION

- 1. Name:
- 2. Designation:
- 3. Contact details:-

Mobile:

Telephone No: -

Email: -

SECTION B: Assessment Criteria and Methodology for University/College Teachers

Activity1: Teaching

Activity	Grading Criteria	Self-appraisal	Verified
		Grading	Grading
Teaching: (Number of	Good : 80% & above	Total classes assigned:	
classes taught/total	Satisfactory: Below	(180days X 4classes daily)	
classes assigned)x100%	80% but 70% &		
(Classes taught includes	above	No. of classes taught:	
sessions on tutorials, lab	Not satisfactory:	% of classes taught:	
and other teaching	Less than 70%	Grade:	
related activities)		Giudi	

Activity2: Involvement in the College students related activities/research activities

Activity	Grading	Self-appraisal	Verified			
	Criteria	Grading	Grading			
(a) Administrative responsibilities such as Head,						
Chairperson/ Dean/ Director/ Co-ordinator,						
Warden etc.	Good:					
(b) Examination and evaluation duties assigned	Involved in					
by the college / university or attending the	at least 3					
examination paper evaluation.	activities					
(c) Student related co-curricular, extension and						
field based activities such as student clubs,	Satisfactory:					
career counselling, study visits, student seminars	1-2 activities					
and other events, cultural, sports, NCC, NSS and						
community services.	Not-satisfactory:					
(d) Organising seminars/ conferences/	Not involved /					
workshops, other college/university activities.	undertaken any					
(e) Evidence of actively involved in guiding	of the activities					
Ph.D. students.						
(f) Conducting minor or major research project						
sponsored by national or international agencies.	Note: Number of					
(g) At least one single or joint publication in	activities can be					
peer reviewed or UGC list of Journals.	within or across					
	the broad					
	categories of					
	activities					
Organil Cup Hing						
Overall Grading	:4::44					
Good: Good in teaching and satisfactory or good Sl.No.2. Or	in activity at					
	r caticfactory in					
Satisfactory: Satisfactory in teaching and good or satisfactory in activity at Sl.No.2.						
1	in overall grading					
Not Satisfactory: If neither good nor satisfactory in overall grading						

Note: For the purpose of assessing the grading of Activity at Serial No. 1 and Serial No. 2, all such periods of duration which have been spent by the teacher on different kinds of paid leaves such as Maternity Leave, Child Care Leave, Study Leave, Medical Leave, Extraordinary Leave and Deputation shall be excluded from the grading assessment. The teacher shall be assessed for the remaining period of duration and the same shall be extrapolated for the entire period of assessment to arrive at the grading of the teacher. The teacher on such leaves or deputation as mentioned above shall not be put to any disadvantage for promotion under CAS due to his/her absence from his/her teaching responsibilities subject to the condition that such leave/deputation was undertaken with the prior approval of the competent authority following all procedures laid down in these regulations and as per the acts, statutes and ordinances of the parent institution.

Section C: Methodology for College Teachers for calculating Academic/Research Score Category 1: Research Papers in Peer-Reviewed or UGC listed Journals

Sr.	Title of the Paper	Name of the Journal & ISSNumber	State/ National /Internationa l	Month & Year	Self- appraisa Score	Verifi ed score	
1							l

Category 2: Publications (other than Research Paper)

i. Books authored which are published by National Publishers

Sr.	Title	Month & Year	National Publisher	Self- appraisal Score	Verified score
1					

Category 4: Research Guidance, Research Projects Completed, Research Projects On-going & Consultancy

a: Research Guidance: i. Ph.D. Degree awarded

Sr.	Name of the Researcher	Title of the thesis	Date of result	Self- apprais	Verifi ed
				Score	score

ii. M.P.Ed./ PG dissertation Degree awarded

Sr.	Name	Year	Self-appraisal Score	Verified score

Category 6: Invited lectures / Resource Person/ paper presentation in Seminars/ Conferences/full paper in Conference Proceedings

a. Invited Lectures

S	r. Title of Lecture /	Title of Conference /	Organized	Whether	Self-	Verifi
N	o. Academic	Seminar etc	by	international	apprai	ed
	Session			/National	Score	score
1						

b. Resource Person

Sr.	Title of Conference /	Organized by	Whether	Self-	Verified
No.	Seminar etc		international	appraisa	score
			/National	Score	

c. Papers presented

Sr.	Title of the paper	Title of	Organized	Whether	Self-	Verifi
No.	presented	Conference /	by	international	appraisa	ed
		Seminar		/National/State	Score	score
				/Regional/College		
				or University		
				level		

d. Full Papers Conference Proceeding

	Sr.	Title	Proceedings with ISBNumber	Level	Self- appraisal Score	Verifi ed score
ſ						

SECTION C: Methodology for College Teachers for calculating Academic/Research Score (Assessment must be based on evidence produced by the teacher such as: copy of publications, project sanction letter, utilization and completion certificates issued by the University and acknowledgements for patent filing and approval

letters, students' Ph.D. award letter, etc,.)

Category	Academic / Research Activity	Maximum score for	College teacher*	
	•	API Score	Self-appraisal	Verifie
		Allotted	score	d Score
1	Research Papers in Peer-Reviewed or UGC listed	10 per Publication		
	Journals			
2	Publications (other than Research Paper)			
a	Books authored which are published by			
i	International publishers	12 per Publication		
ii	National Publishers	10 per Publication		
iii	Chapter in Edited Book	05 per Publication		
iv	Editor of Book by International Publisher	10 per Publication		
v	Editor of Book by National Publisher	08 per Publication		
b	Translation works in Indian and Foreign Language		S	1
i	Chapter or Research paper	03 per Publication		
ii	Book	08 per Publication		
3	Creation of ICT mediated Teaching Learning pedag	gogy and content and	development of nev	w and
	innovative courses and curricula			<u> </u>
a	Development of Innovative pedagogy	05 marks		
b	Design of new curricula and courses	02 marks per		
Ь	Design of new curricula and courses	curricula/		
		course		
c	MOOCs	000150		I
i	Development of complete MOOCs in 4 quadrants	20 marks.		
	(4credit course) (In case of MOOCs of lesser credits			
	05 marks/credit)			
ii	MOOCs (developed in 4 quadrants) per	05 marks.		
	module/lecture			
iii	Content writer/subject matter expert for each module	02 marks		
	of MOOCs (at least one quadrant)			
iv	Course Coordinator for MOOCs (4 credit course)(In	08 marks.		
	case of MOOCs of lesser credits 02 marks/credit)			
d	E-Content.			
i	Development of e-Content in 4 quadrants for a complete course/e-book	12 marks		
ii	e-Content (developed in 4 quadrants)	05per module		
11	e-Content (developed in 4 quadrants)	osper module		
iii	Contribution to development of e-content module in	02 marks		
111	complete course/paper/e-book (at least one quadrant)	02 marks		
iv	Editor of e-content for complete course/ paper /e-	10 marks		
17	book	10 marks		
Category	Academic / Research Activity	Maximum sco	re for College teac	her*
g ₀₁ j		API Score Allotted	Self-appraisal	Verified
			score	Score
4	Research Guidance, Research Projects Completed,	Research Proiects On	going & Consultan	
a	Research Guidance		0 0	J
i	Ph.D. Degree awarded	10 per candidate		
ii	Ph.D. Thesis submitted	05 per candidate		
iii	M.Phil./PG dissertation Degree awarded	02 per candidate		
b	Research Projects Completed	1 32 per canadano	l	1
~	ů Î	1.0		
i	More than 10 lakhs	10 marks		

С	Research Projects Ongoing		
i	More than 10 lakhs	05 marks	
ii	Less than 10 lakhs	02 marks	
d	Consultancy	03 marks	
5	Patents, Policy Document, Awards / I	Cellowshins	
a	Patents	chowships	
i	International	10 marks	
ii	National	07 marks	
b	Policy Document (Submitted to an Int	L	NO/UNESCO/World
D	Bank/International Monetary Fund et		
i	International	10 marks	
ii	National	07 marks	
iii	State	04 marks	
С	Awards / Fellowships	•	<u> </u>
i	International	07 marks	
ii	National	05 marks	
6	Invited lectures / Resource Person/ pa	per presentation in Seminars/ Conf	erences/full paper in
	Conference Proceedings (Paper preser		
	Conference Proceedings will be counted	ed only once)	
a	Invited lectures	•	
i	International (Abroad)	07 marks	
ii	International (within country)	05 marks	
iii	National	03 marks	
iv	State/University	02 marks	
b	Resource Person		
i	International (Abroad)	07 marks	
ii	International (within country)	05 marks	
iii	National	03 marks	
iv	State/University	02 marks	
c	Paper presentation		
i	International (Abroad)	07 marks	
ii	International (within country)	05 marks	
iii	National	03 marks	
iv	State/University	02 marks	
d	Full paper in Conference Proceedings		
i	International (Abroad)	07 marks	
ii	International (within country)	05 marks	
iii	National	03 marks	
iv	State/University	02 marks	
Total of	Category (1+2+3+4+5+6)		

Signature of the teacher

 ${\bf Signature\ of\ the\ IQAC\ Coordinator}$

Date:

The Research score for research papers would be augmented as follows:

Peer-Reviewed or UGC-listed Journals (Impact factor to be determined as per Thomson Reuters list):

- i) Paper in refereed journals without impact factor 5 Points
- ii) Paper with impact factor less than 1 10 Points
- iii) Paper with impact factor between 1 and 2 15 Points
- iv) Paper with impact factor between 2 and 5 20 Points
- v) Paper with impact factor between 5 and 10 25 Points
- vi) Paper with impact factor >10 30 Points
- (a) Two authors: 70% of total value of publication for each author.
- (b) More than two authors: 70% of total value of publication for the First/Principal/Corresponding author and 30% of total value of publication for each of the joint authors.
- (c) Joint Projects: Principal Investigator and Co-investigator would get 50% each.

Note:

- Paper presented if part of edited book or proceeding then it can be claimed only once.
- For joint supervision of research students, the formula shall be 70% of the total score for Supervisor and Co-supervisor. Supervisor and Co-supervisor, both shall get 7 marks each.
- *For the purpose of calculating research score of the teacher, the combined research score from the categories of 5(b). Policy Document and 6. Invited lectures/Resource Person/Paper presentation shall have an upper capping of thirty percent of the total research score of the teacher concerned.
- The research score shall be from the minimum of three categories out of six categories.

PART B

(Adverse remarks as well as remarks of appreciation of any outstanding work shall be brought to the notice of the person concerned by the Management/Principal or Head of the Department with a view to make improvement in the work, by the person concerned.)

1. (a) Assessment by the Management (for Principal)/ Principal (for teachers) of the work done under each head of activity:

Overall Grading for TABLE I Assessment Criteria and Methodology for College Teachers

Overall Grading	Self-appraisal Grading	Verified Grading
	8	
Good: Good in teaching and satisfactory or good in activity		
at Sl.No.2. Or		
Satisfactory: Satisfactory in teaching and good or		
satisfactory in activity at Sl.No.2.		
Not Satisfactory: If neither good nor satisfactory in overall		
grading		

Note: For the purpose of assessing the grading of Activity at Serial No. 1 and Serial No. 2, all such periods of duration which have been spent by the teacher on different kinds of paid leaves such as Maternity Leave, Child Care Leave, Study Leave, Medical Leave, Extraordinary Leave and Deputation shall be excluded from the grading assessment. The teacher shall be assessed for the remaining period of duration and the same shall be extrapolated for the entire period of assessment to arrive at the grading of the teacher. The teacher on such leaves or deputation as mentioned above shall not be put to any disadvantage for promotion under CAS due to his/her absence from his/her teaching responsibilities subject to the condition that such leave/deputation was undertaken with the prior approval of the competent authority following all procedures laid down in these regulations and as per the acts, statutes and ordinances of the parent institution.

- (b) Justification of assessment of work as not satisfactory:
- 2. Comments of the Management / Principal on Table I and Table 2:
- 3. Remarks and suggestions:

Remarks of the Management / Principal (Adverse remarks as well as remarks of appreciation)

Signature of the Principal

Signature of the Secretary